G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.
316-228/13

Bangalore
1922.
(Mysore)

File No. 316-22

Serial Nos. 6 to 9

Later references.
Mysore 94-23 Sh 1 to
101-23-16
13-24-1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for July 23.

SUBJECT

Review petition from Linnaraya ppa Son of Pattada Govindappa Bangalore re the alienation of the inam lands of Gódhanaiahs Mattal Bangalore

To

Linnaraya ppa
Bangalore

Rejects the petition as there is nothing to re-open the Case

Printed on the Multigraph.

G. R. S.—III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes. requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 2277

Review petition from Timmarayappa Son of Pallari Govindappa, Bangalore.

The petr. prays for a re-consideration of the order of Govt. conveyed in Proc. no. 28556 / Mus. 316-22 dt 19-5-1923, in the matter of the resumption from the alienees, of a portion of S. no. 13 of Hanumanta-pura Village, Bangalore Taluk, entered in Srotriyadar's Inam at Bangalore.

There are no fresh grounds for

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

A re consideration of the
first order.

Mr Civil rejection is
Intimated) the petition
to G/S) has presented
to the Members
of Council,
Who has been received
with the following order.—
This may be dealt—
with in the usual course.

Draft may be approved

(1st Member) 29/6/73

Put back on 29-6-73
for G's order of 1st Member—

N B Shouldroutine notes be written on small slips the notes must be pasted, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted to the Secretary Member.

57.
1.7.23.

Sec 1/7/23

2/7/23

As his petitioner's application for a recommendation for the grant of S 1/17/21 has already been rejected by under the orders Government in the Registration Dept, I do not see any use in his case be reopened

The Endorsement is a fit one

2/7

Issue

Sec 4/7/23

4/7/23

Rejects the petition as there is nothing in the file case

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form

G. R S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc , not intended for permanent record.]

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

To

The First Member of Council,

The Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

GEN. S' 16 JUN 1923 MUZRAI D 2277

Honoured Sir,

The humble petitioner, Thimmarayappa, son of Pattadi Govindappa, Subedar Chattram Road, Bangalore City, respectfully begs to submit the following prayer for your honour's kind consideration.

1. The Government in their Proceedings No.1 90-91/Muz. 604-16-2 dated 23-11-17 were pleased to approve of Pattadi Govindappa and six others being continued as tenants in possession for a period of 30 years from the date of the order of the portion of the Goshtadi' Mutt Inam Land, viz., S. No.13 of Hanumanthapura village, Bangalore which had been built upon, on the following terms.-

(a) Ground rent at Rs. 60 - per annum should be paid,

(b) Arrears of rent at Rs. 60/- per annum should also be paid in respect of the said land from the date of the Civil Court decree to the date of the agreement

(c) A mutchalika should be executed by the alienees as per draft approved by Government.

2. Thereafter the petitioner's father, the late Pattadi Govindappa, and four other tenants in their [illegible] dated 19-5-1918 petitioned to the Collector, Bangalore, informing him of the apportionment of the rent of Rs. 60/- [illegible] themselves and of their willingness to execute the [illegible]. They also prayed that they may be continued [illegible] the period of 30 years with an increase or decrease in rent as may seem expedient to Government

however refuseto accept the Government Order or to execute the mutchalika. In fact, as reported to Government by the Deputy Commissioner in his letter No. 1242 dated the 5th May 1917, they had already agreed to the terms proposed by the Deputy Commissioner for approval of Government.

3. But to the misfirtune of the petitioner a report seems to have been submitted to the Government stating without sufficient grounds that the tenants in question refused to execute mutchalikas and that they should be summarily evicted. The action thus recommendéd was approved by Govern-ment in their Order No. 2835/Muz. 611-20-3 dated 5-12-21.

4. The humble petitioner then represented his case in his petition dated 22-1-23 and prayed for the restoration of the Government Order of 23-11-1917. Government were pleased to issue a stay order in respect of their order dated 5-12-21 and call for the records.

5. The petitioner, however, has received an endorsement No. 2857/ Muz. 316-22-5 dated 19th May 1923 that his petition dated 22nd January 1923 has been rejected. He most respect-fully begs to state that this order has been passed without giving him an opportunity to explain his case before Govern-ment or the District or Taluk authorities. The petitioner has several times represented to the Taluk authorities that he would pay all the rent due by him and would execute the mutchalika as per Government Orders of 23rd November 1917 and has never refuséd to execute the mutchalika.

6. It has never been the intention of the tenants to disobey the Government Order. In fact they have already paid a large rent of the arrears due and almost the whole of the rent that accrued after the Government Order of 23-11-17 These payments have been accepted by the Taluk authorities and payments of rent made even after the Government Order of 5-12-21 as per appropriation notifiedon 19-5-18 have also

been accepted by the Taluk authorities.

7. The land in question is in the heart of the Bangalore City and adjoins a main road. It is only a very small part, viz., 11 guntas of the survey No. 13 which in other parts is lying fallow yidding absolutely no income to the Mutt The little area now tenanted cannot be used for agriculture and will not, even if so used, fetch as much rent, as it is doing now. The petitioner and other tenants have expended thousands of rupees for buildings and will be utterly ruined if they are summarily evicted even when they have obeyed the Government Order of 23-11-1917.

8. The petitioner therefore humbly prays that the Government be pleased to take into consideration the great hardship that will be caused to the petitioner and other alienees by the Order of 5-12-21 and to restore their order of 23-11-1917 to which the petitioner has been signifying his complete compliance.

The petitioner most respectfully
beg to be
Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant.

Bangalore,
15th June 1923.

P. G. Shivaramaiah

Adv for Petitioner
15/6/23

೨ TWO RUPEES ಪು-೪

ಶ್ರೀ [illegible] ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

192 — ನೇ ನಂಬರು.

ಪಾಯಿಯೂ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್, ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಆಫೋನೆಂಟ್

[illegible] Vs.

ಮು|| ರಾ||.....

..... ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ

ಈಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಜ್ಞೆರುವರೆಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜಂಗಿಸುವ ದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಕಿಲ್ಲು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲುಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 11/6/23 } ರುಜು [illegible]

ಈ ವೆ.ಖುತ್ರುನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. } ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿಇದ್ದೇನೆ

11/6/23

ಯಂ. ಪಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಯ್ಯ ಬುಕ್‌ಡಿಪೊ, ಶ್ರೀ ನಂದೀಕ ಭಾರತಿ ವಿಲಾಸ ಪ್ರೆಸ್, ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬಿ-ಸಿಟಿ.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT..... ....

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Mur

File No. 316-M

Serial No. 7

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

No. 16

Date of issue 7.7.23

Signed by Sri. D.F. Subbarayappa

To

[illegible]

over.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22